

*Center for Advanced Studies in
Measurement and Assessment*

CASMA Research Report

Number 3

**Manual for LEGS
Version 2.0**

Robert L. Brennan

January, 2004

Disclaimer of Warranty

No warranties are made, express or implied, that LEGS is free of error, that it is consistent with any particular standard, or that it will meet the requirements of any particular application. The author disclaims any direct or consequential damages resulting from use of this program.

Center for Advanced Studies in
Measurement and Assessment (CASMA)
College of Education
University of Iowa
Iowa City, IA 52242
Tel: 319-335-5439
Fax: 319-384-0505
Web: www.uiowa.edu/~casma

All rights reserved

Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Abstract | v |
| Introduction | 1 |
| Frequency Distributions as Input | 1 |
| Control Cards | 1 |
| Card 1: <i>Y</i> Scores | 2 |
| Card 2: <i>X</i> Scores | 2 |
| Card 3: Subgroups | 2 |
| Card 4: File for <i>Y</i> Frequencies | 3 |
| Card 5: File for <i>X</i> Frequencies | 3 |
| Card 6: Correlations | 3 |
| Card 7: Equipercntile Smoothing | 3 |
| Card 8: Truncation | 4 |
| Card 9: Create Equating Error Input File | 4 |
| Frequency Files | 4 |
| Raw Data as Input | 6 |
| Control Cards | 6 |
| Card 1: <i>Y</i> Scores | 7 |
| Card 2: <i>X</i> Scores | 7 |
| Card 3: Subgroups | 7 |
| Card 4: File for Raw Data | 7 |
| Card 5: Equipercntile Smoothing | 8 |
| Card 6: Truncation | 8 |
| Card 7: Create Equating Error Input File | 8 |
| Raw Data File | 8 |
| Output | 9 |
| “cc-yout” and “cc-xout” | 9 |
| “cc-out” | 9 |
| “cc-umr-equiv” and “cc-r-equiv” | 13 |
| Other Issues | 14 |
| References | 14 |
| Appendix: Output Tables | 16 |

List of Tables

| | | |
|----|---|----|
| 1 | Illustrative Control Cards with Frequency Distributions as Input | 2 |
| 2 | Illustrative Control Cards with Raw Scores as Input | 6 |
| 3 | Frequencies, Cumulative Frequencies, and Percentile Ranks for Y (“manualf-yout”) | 16 |
| 4 | Frequencies, Cumulative Frequencies, and Percentile Ranks for X (“manualf-xout”) | 17 |
| 5 | Input and Data (“manualf-out”) | 18 |
| 6 | Summary Statistics, Effect Sizes, Standardized Mean Differences, and Parameters for Linear and Parallel Linear Conversions (“manualf- out”) | 19 |
| 7 | Unrounded Moments and Pairwise Group Difference Statistics (“manualf-out”) | 20 |
| 8 | Rounded Moments and Pairwise Group Difference Statistics (“manualf- out”) | 21 |
| 9 | <i>REMSD</i> and <i>ewREMSD</i> for Unrounded and Rounded Equiva- lents; <i>rmsel</i> Statistics (“manualf-out”) | 22 |
| 10 | Unrounded Equivalentents (“manualf-unr-equiv”) | 23 |
| 11 | Unrounded Equivalentents—Intersections (“manualf-unr-equiv”) . . | 24 |
| 12 | Rounded Equivalentents (“manualf-r-equiv”) | 25 |
| 13 | Rounded Equivalentents—Intersections (“manualf-r-equiv”) | 26 |

List of Figures

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1 | Frequencies for Y and X in separate files. | 5 |
|---|--|---|

Abstract

LEGS (*Linking with Equivalent Groups or Single Group Design*) is an ANSI C computer program for linking scores on two tests using various statistical methods including mean, linear, parallel-linear, and equipercentile methods with and without postsMOOTHING. Also provided are a number of subgroup-invariance statistics for such linked scores. These statistics are discussed in Chapter 10 of Kolen and Brennan (2004). Both Macintosh and PC/Windows versions of LEGS are available.

Introduction

LEGS (*Linking with Equivalent Groups or Single Group Design*) is an ANSI C computer program for linking scores on two tests using various statistical methods including mean, linear, parallel-linear, and equipercentile methods with and without postsmoothing. Also provided are a number of subgroup-invariance statistics for such linked scores. These statistics are described in detail in Chapter 10 of Kolen and Brennan (2004), which uses the same verbal and notational conventions as in LEGS. LEGS produces five primary output files. Both Macintosh and PC/Windows versions of LEGS are available.

To execute LEGS, the user double-clicks the LEGS icon. LEGS then prompts the user for

- the type of input (frequency distributions or raw scores) and
- the name of the file containing the control cards.

The file containing the control cards must be in the same folder as the LEGS application, or the full pathname for the control cards must be specified. After the user types a return, LEGS executes. When execution is complete (usually only a second or two), the message “Successful execution” is printed in the same window used to specify the name of the control cards file.

In the text of this manual (not necessarily the tables and figures), variables are put in italics, and file names are put in quotes. If a variable is the name of a file, italics are used.

Frequency Distributions as Input

When frequency distributions are used as input, a run of LEGS requires a file containing a set of control cards, and two files containing frequency distributions. These files should be in text-only format.

Control Cards

Table 1 provides an illustrative set of control cards when frequency distributions are used as input. There are nine control cards; i.e., the file should contain nine lines. The control cards must be in the order discussed below. The first three lines in Table 1 (column identifiers and a blank line) should *not* be in the file.

For each control card, all parameters are separated from each other by any number of spaces and/or tabs. Unless otherwise specified, the order in which parameters are provided is fixed, and the parameters are integers. LEGS looks for a linebreak (newline or return) character at the end of each line, which is generated by typing a return.¹ Note that the linebreak produced by hitting the return key generates different ASCII code under Macintosh and PC/Windows operating systems.² Therefore, a control cards file generated using a Macintosh computer will not work as input for a PC/Windows computer.

¹Strictly speaking, each control card should be terminated by any uninterrupted sequence of newline and/or return characters.

²Actually, there are differences among Macintosh, DOS, and Unix systems.

Table 1: Illustrative Control Cards with Frequency Distributions as Input

```

COLUMNS 1111111112
12345678901234567890

sc_ACT
sc_ITED
2 MALE FEMALE
manual-yfreqs
manual-xfreqs
.672 .659 .689
.5 2 .30 1.00
1 36 1
1

```

Card 1: Y Scores

yid alphanumeric identifier (with no spaces) for Y (maximum of 20 characters).

LEGS provides linking results relative to Y in the sense that Y equivalents of X scores are provided along with relevant summary statistics.

Card 2: X Scores

xid alphanumeric identifier (with no spaces) for X (maximum of 20 characters).

Card 3: Subgroups

nsg number of subgroups ($nsg \leq 9$).

sgid[*] *nsg* alphanumeric subgroup identifiers, with no spaces within each identifier (maximum of 8 characters).

There are two ways to get linking equivalents when there are no subgroups:

- Set $nsg = 0$, and do not provide an *sgid*[0] identifier. In this case, the frequency files should have a column of scores and a single column of frequencies.

- Set $nsg = 1$, and provide an $sgid[1]$ identifier. In this case, the frequency files should have a column of scores followed by two identical columns of frequencies.

Obviously, when there are no subgroups, much of the output in LEGS is irrelevant.

Card 4: File for Y Frequencies

yfilename alphanumeric name of file that contains Y scores and frequencies.

This file must be in the same folder as the LEGS application, or the full path-name must be specified.

Card 5: File for X Frequencies

xfilename alphanumeric name of file that contains X scores and frequencies. (This may *not* be the same as *yfilename*.)

This file must be in the same folder as the LEGS application, or the full path-name must be specified.

Card 6: Correlations

corr[0] correlation for the combined group. If $corr[0] = -99$, then the randomly equivalent groups design is assumed; otherwise, the single group design is assumed.

corr[i] correlation for the nsg subgroups. These correlations should be set to -99 for the randomly equivalent groups design.

If these correlations are set to -99 , then the *rmsel* output (discussed later) is not provided, and the standard errors used in cubic spline postsmoothing are for the randomly equivalent groups design (Lord, 1982, pp. 167–169, the so-called “Discrete Case, Two Groups”). If these correlations are present, (i.e., not equal to -99), the standard errors used in cubic spline postsmoothing are for the single group design under normality assumptions (Lord, 1982, pp. 169–171, the so-called “Continuous Case, One Group”).

Card 7: Equipercntile Smoothing

slim interpolate if percentile rank is outside the range $[slim, 1-slim]$. *slim* should be a floating point number between 0 and 100. In accordance with the conventions in Kolen and Brennan (1995, 2004), almost always $slim = .5$.

kn number of smoothing values.

s[]* *kn* smoothing values (floating point numbers between 0 and 1).

Card 8: Truncation

- validYL* lowest valid score for Y .
- validYH* highest valid score for Y .
- truncation* *truncation* = 0 \Rightarrow no truncation.
 truncation = 1 \Rightarrow truncate rounded scores only.
 truncation = 2 \Rightarrow truncate both rounded and unrounded scores.
 Note that rounding is done before truncation.

Card 9: Create Equating Error Input File

- eeinput* If *eeinput* \neq 0, create input file for the computer program Equating Error (Hanson, 2000).

This card must be present even if the Equating Error input file is not requested.

Frequency Files

The frequencies for Y and X need to be provided in separate files. These files should be in text-only format. In Kolen and Brennan (2004) Y and X designate raw scores (almost always number-of-items correct); here, Y and X usually refer to scale scores.³ LEGS requires that these scale scores be integers (not necessarily positive integers).

Figure 1 provides the frequencies for Y and X for the illustrative run of LEGS. In accordance with the specifications in the control cards in Table 1, the frequencies for Y should be in a file named “manual-yfreqs” and the frequencies for X should be in a file named “manual-xfreqs”. For each file, the entries in each line are:

- score (integer),
- frequency for combined group,
- frequency for first subgroup,
- \vdots
- frequency for last subgroup.

[The line numbers in Figure 1 should *not* be included in the file(s).] The scores must be integers ordered from low to high, and the entries must be separated by one or more blanks and/or tabs. When a particular score has no frequency (e.g., a score of 164 for X), it is not necessary to include that score.

Each line must end with a linebreak (newline or return) character, which is generated by typing a return. Note that the linebreak produced by hitting the

³Raw scores can always be interpreted as scale scores resulting from a linear transformation of raw scores with an intercept of 0 and a slope of 1.

| Line | Y Frequencies | X Frequencies |
|------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1 | 9 3 0 3 | 163 1 1 0 |
| 2 | 10 6 3 3 | 169 2 2 0 |
| 3 | 11 20 7 13 | 173 2 1 1 |
| 4 | 12 18 5 13 | 177 1 0 1 |
| 5 | 13 46 18 28 | 181 6 3 3 |
| 6 | 14 90 40 50 | 186 8 4 4 |
| 7 | 15 158 63 95 | 192 15 9 6 |
| 8 | 16 338 113 225 | 199 23 16 7 |
| 9 | 17 454 159 295 | 207 38 27 11 |
| 10 | 18 412 155 257 | 216 48 29 19 |
| 11 | 19 738 293 445 | 225 56 34 22 |
| 12 | 20 811 300 511 | 234 70 43 27 |
| 13 | 21 914 366 548 | 242 109 50 59 |
| 14 | 22 748 302 446 | 249 88 41 47 |
| 15 | 23 838 382 456 | 255 89 42 47 |
| 16 | 24 802 374 428 | 260 111 44 67 |
| 17 | 25 399 198 201 | 264 116 51 65 |
| 18 | 26 522 251 271 | 268 145 56 89 |
| 19 | 27 336 183 153 | 272 148 68 80 |
| 20 | 28 263 135 128 | 275 171 67 104 |
| 21 | 29 287 153 134 | 278 189 67 122 |
| 22 | 30 169 98 71 | 282 193 79 114 |
| 23 | 31 76 51 25 | 285 179 65 114 |
| 24 | 32 53 28 25 | 288 241 95 146 |
| 25 | 33 17 12 5 | 290 248 100 148 |
| 26 | 34 66 42 24 | 293 279 111 168 |
| 27 | 35 9 8 1 | 297 267 109 158 |
| 28 | 36 35 27 8 | 301 309 114 195 |
| 29 | | 305 319 116 203 |
| 30 | | 309 342 121 221 |
| 31 | | 314 388 157 231 |
| 32 | | 319 369 155 214 |
| 33 | | 323 372 155 217 |
| 34 | | 328 399 159 240 |
| 35 | | 333 437 158 279 |
| 36 | | 337 411 186 225 |
| 37 | | 342 416 186 230 |
| 38 | | 347 408 190 218 |
| 39 | | 351 363 175 188 |
| 40 | | 355 357 174 183 |
| 41 | | 360 299 150 149 |
| 42 | | 364 236 131 105 |
| 43 | | 368 187 122 65 |
| 44 | | 372 121 66 55 |
| 45 | | 377 42 29 13 |
| 46 | | 382 10 8 2 |

Figure 1: Frequencies for Y and X in separate files.

Table 2: Illustrative Control Cards
with Raw Scores as Input

```

COLUMNS 111111111122222222
123456789012345678901234567

sc_ACT      1
sc_ITED     2
2  MALE  FEMALE  3766  4862
manual-raw
.5  2  .30  1.00
1 36 1
1

```

return key generates different ASCII code under Macintosh and PC/Windows operating systems. Therefore, frequency files generated using a Macintosh computer will not work as input for a PC/Windows computer.

Raw Data as Input

When raw data are used as input, a run of LEGS requires a file containing a set of control cards, and a single file containing the raw data (i.e., Y and X scores for each examinee). These files should be in text-only format.

Control Cards

Table 2 provides an illustrative set of control cards when raw data are used as input. There are seven control cards; i.e., the file should contain seven lines in the order discussed below. The first three lines in Table 2 (column identifiers and a blank line) should *not* be in the file.

For each control card, all parameters are separated from each other by any number of spaces and/or tabs. Unless otherwise specified, the order in which parameters are provided is fixed, and the parameters are integers. LEGS looks for a linebreak (newline or return) character at the end of each line, which is generated by typing a return.⁴ Note that the linebreak produced by hitting the return key generates different ASCII code under Macintosh and PC/Windows operating systems.⁵ Therefore, a control cards file generated using a Macintosh computer will not work as input for a PC/Windows computer.

⁴Strictly speaking, each control card should be terminated by any uninterrupted sequence of newline and/or return characters.

⁵Actually, there are differences among Macintosh, DOS, and Unix systems.

Card 1: Y Scores

| | |
|--------------|---|
| <i>yid</i> | alphanumeric identifier (with no spaces) for <i>Y</i> (maximum of 20 characters). |
| <i>yscol</i> | column for reading <i>Y</i> scores |
| <i>lowY</i> | lowest score for <i>Y</i> (optional) |
| <i>highY</i> | highest score for <i>Y</i> (optional) |

Both *lowY* and *highY* must be present or both must be absent. LEGS provides linking results relative to *Y* in the sense that *Y* equivalents of *X* scores are provided along with relevant summary statistics.

Card 2: X Scores

| | |
|--------------|---|
| <i>xid</i> | alphanumeric identifier (with no spaces) for <i>X</i> (maximum of 20 characters). |
| <i>xscol</i> | column for reading <i>X</i> scores |
| <i>lowX</i> | lowest score for <i>X</i> (optional) |
| <i>highX</i> | highest score for <i>X</i> (optional) |

Both *lowX* and *highX* must be present or both must be absent.

Card 3: Subgroups

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| <i>nsg</i> | number of subgroups ($nsg \leq 9$). |
| <i>sgid</i> [*] | <i>nsg</i> alphanumeric subgroup identifiers, with no spaces within each identifier (maximum of 8 characters). |
| <i>nsub</i> [*] | <i>nsg</i> integers indicating the numbers of examinees in each subgroup. |

The ordering of the numbers of examinees in subgroups must correspond with the names of the subgroups.

If there are no subgroups, do *not* set $nsg = 0$. Rather, set $nsg = 1$, provide an identifier for *sgid*[1], and set *nsub*[1] to the total group sample size. Obviously, when there are no subgroups, much of the output is irrelevant.

Card 4: File for Raw Data

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| <i>bivfilename</i> | alphanumeric name of file that contains raw data. |
|--------------------|---|

This file must be in the same folder as the LEGS application, or the full path-name must be specified.

Card 5: Equipercntile Smoothing

- slim* interpolate if percentile rank is outside the range $[slim, 1-slim]$. *slim* should be a floating point number between 0 and 100. In accordance with the conventions in Kolen and Brennan (1995, 2004), almost always $slim = .5$.
- kn* number of smoothing values.
- s[*]* *kn* smoothing values (floating point numbers between 0 and 1).

Card 6: Truncation

- validYL* lowest valid score for *Y*.
- validYH* highest valid score for *Y*.
- truncation* *truncation* = 0 \Rightarrow no truncation.
truncation = 1 \Rightarrow truncate rounded scores only.
truncation = 2 \Rightarrow truncate both rounded and unrounded scores.

Card 7: Create Equating Error Input File

- eeinput* If *eeinput* \neq 0, create input file for the computer program Equating Error (Hanson, 2000).

This card must be present even if the Equating Error input file is not requested.

Raw Data File

Each record of the raw data file must contain a *Y* score for an examinee in column *yscol* and an *X* score for the examinee in column *xscol*. (It is not necessary that $xscol > yscol$.) Columns must be separated by at least one space and/or tab. The order of the records in the file must be: *nsub*[1] records for examinees in subgroup 1, *nsub*[2] records for examinees in subgroup 2, ..., *nsub*[*nsg*] records for examinees in subgroup *nsg*.

As an example, consider again the control cards in Table 2. The first two cards specify that the *Y* scores are in column 1 and the *X* scores are in column 2. The third card specifies that the first 3766 records are for males, and the next 4862 records are for females.

Output

LEGS generates five output files. Letting “cc” (without the quotes) be a generic designator for the control cards, these output files are identified as:

- “cc-yout”,
- “cc-xout”,
- “cc-out”,
- “cc-unr-equiv”, and
- “cc-r-equiv”

(without the quotes).⁶ For example, if the control cards in Table 1 were in a file called “manualf”, then the first output file would be named “manualf-yout”, the second would be “manualf-xout”, etc. Each of these output files is described next and illustrated in the Tables 3–13 on pages 16–26. In each of these tables the filename is in parentheses in the table caption, under the assumption that the control cards file name is “manualf”.

“cc-yout” and “cc-xout”

For both Y and X , LEGS provides separate files that list the

1. scores,
2. frequencies,
3. probabilities,
4. cumulative probabilities, and
5. percentile ranks.

This information is provided for the combined group and all subgroups, as illustrated in Tables 3 and 4. The scores are consecutive integers beginning with the lowest score in *yfilename* (for Y) and *xfilename* (for X) and ending with the highest scores in these files. Scores with zero frequencies between the lowest and highest scores are reported, as illustrated in Tables 4.

“cc-out”

This file is separated into five parts:

1. information from/about control cards and data files—basically an echoing of the information provided in the control cards (e.g., Table 5);

⁶In addition, if *eeinput* $\neq 0$, an additional file named “cc-eeinput” is created that can be used as input for the computer program Equating Error (Hanson, 2000).

2. summary statistics for Y and X , effect sizes, standardized mean differences, linear conversions of X to Y , and parallel-linear conversions of X to Y (e.g., Table 6);
3. unrounded moments and pairwise group difference statistics (e.g., Table 7);
4. rounded moments and pairwise group difference statistics (e.g., Table 8);
5. *REMSD*, *ewREMSD*, and *rmsel* statistics (e.g., Table 9).

Most of the information provided in this file is self-explanatory, or is discussed fully by Kolen and Brennan (2004, chap. 10). The following paragraphs provide a bit more detail about some aspects of the output.

The illustrated output in Tables 5–9 is for the case in which frequency distributions are used as input. When raw data are used as input for the single group design, correlations are computed by LEGS and reported in “cc-out”, and *rmsel* values are computed for equipercntile conversions and reported in “cc-out”.

Effect Sizes. Effect sizes are expressed in terms of pooled standard deviation units. So, for example, if males (M) and females (F) are the two subgroups, then the effect size is

$$ES = \frac{\bar{X}_M - \bar{X}_F}{\sqrt{\frac{SS_M + SS_F}{n_M + n_F - 2}}},$$

where SS stands for sums of squares, and n stands for sample size. LEGS provides effect sizes for all combinations of pairs of subgroups.

Truncation. It is possible for a Y equivalent to be outside the range of valid Y scores. For example, valid scores for the the ACT Assessment (Y in the illustrative control cards in Table 1) range from 1–36 (inclusive). If the user specifies that *truncation* is 1, then rounded scores below 1 will be reported as 1 and rounded scores above 36 will be reported as 36 in the file “cc-r-equiv” (discussed in the next section). If the user specifies that *truncation* is 2, then both rounded and unrounded scores scores will be truncated in the files “cc-r-equiv” and “cc-unr-equiv” (discussed in the next section).

Note that truncation can affect the values of the *REMSD* and *ewREMSD* statistics. This is especially likely for the mean method, somewhat likely for the linear and parallel linear methods, and much less likely for the equipercntile methods.

Interpolation. Linear interpolation is applied only to smoothed—more specifically, cubic-spline postsmoothed—equipercntile equivalents that are outside the *PR* range [*slim*, 100 – *slim*]. Since *slim* is usually set to .5 (given the conventions discussed by Kolen & Brennan, 1995, 2004), linear interpolation usually occurs for scores associated with *PRs* outside the range [.5, 99.5].

Cubic-spline postsMOOTHING is essentially a regression procedure and, therefore, does not produce symmetric results. Consequently, a three-step, somewhat

ad hoc, procedure is used to obtain the “final” postsmoothed equipercntile equating results:

1. the equipercntile procedure with postsmoothing is used for converting X scores to the scale of Y for those X scores that have PRs in the range $[slim, 100 - slim]$;
2. the equipercntile procedure with postsmoothing is used for converting Y scores to the scale of X for those Y scores that have PRs in the range $[slim, 100 - slim]$;
3. the results in the previous two steps are averaged.

The last step is considerably more complicated than it may appear because the desired results are X scores converted to the scale of Y . These Y equivalents are available directly from the first step, but obtaining them from the second step requires finding the inverse function of Y to X , which is accomplished in LEGS using the Newton-Raphson procedure.

From the above discussion, it is clear that linear interpolation may need to be applied for the X to Y equivalents (Step 1) as well as the Y to X equivalents (Step 2). However, we illustrate the process here for the X to Y equivalents (Step 1) only.

Consider, again, the Y and X scores and frequencies in Figure 1. Let the Y scores be designated $y_1 \dots y_J$, which is 9–36 for the illustrative data. Similarly, let the X scores be designated $x_1 \dots x_I$, which is 163–382 for the illustrative data.^{7,8} For Y , the lower limit for the interpolation is set at $y_1 - .5$ and the upper limit is $y_J + .5$. Similarly, for X the lower limit for the interpolation is set at $x_1 - .5$ and the upper limit is $x_I + .5$.

Now, consider the PRs for X for the combined group (0) in Table 4.⁹ For Step 1, postsmoothed equipercntile Y equivalents are obtained by LEGS for X scores in the range $[199, 376]$, which we designate generically as $[slimxl, slimxh]$. Linear interpolation is used outside that range. For the low end of the range, linear interpolation occurs between the coordinates

$$(x - 1 - .5, y - 1 - .5) \quad \text{and} \quad (x - slimxl, e - Y(slimxl)),$$

where $e - Y(slimxl)$ is the postsmoothed equipercntile equivalent for $x = slimxl$ converted to the scale of Y . For the illustrative data, linear interpolation at the

⁷In the early chapters of Kolen and Brennan (2004), K designates the number of items in a test. Here, I designates the total number of integer scale score points for X , which need not be K .

⁸There are I consecutive positive integers for X , and J consecutive positive integers for Y , which should not be confused with the number of non-zero frequencies for X and Y scores, respectively. For the illustrative data, as indicated in Figure 1, there are 46 X scores with non-zero frequency, but there are $I = 382 - 163 + 1 = 220$ potential X scores.

⁹As indicated in the top of the table, there are 36 scores with percentile ranks smaller than $slim = .5$, which means that linear interpolation will be used for scores at or below $163 + 36 - 1 = 198$. Similarly, since there are six scores with percentile ranks larger than $100 - slim = 99.5$, linear interpolation will be used for scores at or above $382 - 6 + 1 = 377$.

low end of the range occurs between

$$(162.5, 8.5) \quad \text{and} \quad (199, e - Y(199)).$$

Similarly, at the high end of the range, linear interpolation occurs between

$$(x - \text{slim}x, e - Y(\text{slim}x)) \quad \text{and} \quad (x - I + .5, y - J + .5)$$

For the illustrative data, interpolation at the high end occurs between

$$(376, e - Y(376)) \quad \text{and} \quad (382.5, 36.5).$$

When the input is frequency distributions, LEGS uses the lowest and highest scores in the frequency files (see Figure 1) as a basis for determining the lower and upper limits for linear interpolation. So, for the illustrative example, since the lowest score in the Y frequencies file is 9, the lower limit for Y is set to 8.5. This may or may not be acceptable to the user. In the case of the ACT Assessment, because the lowest reported score is 1, the user might want the lower limit for linear interpolation to be $1 - .5 = .5$. If so, the user could set up the Y frequencies file as follows:

```

1 0 0 0
2 0 0 0
3 0 0 0
4 0 0 0
5 0 0 0
6 0 0 0
7 0 0 0
8 0 0 0
9 3 0 3
.
.
.
36 35 27 8
```

Or, more simply, the user could set up the file as

```

1 0 0 0
9 3 0 3
.
.
.
36 35 27 8
```

That is, the user can control the lower and upper limits for linear interpolation by prepending or appending one or more scores with zero frequencies.

When raw scores are used as input, the user can control the lower and upper limits for linear interpolation by providing explicit values for $lowY$ and $highY$ in Card 1, and/or $lowX$ and $highX$ in Card 2. So, for example, if the user set $lowY = 1$ and $highY = 36$, the lower limit for linear interpolation for Y would be $1 - .5 = .5$.

“cc-unr-equiv” and “cc-r-equiv”

Unrounded Y equivalents for each of the linking methods are provided in the file “cc-unr-equiv”, which also lists points of intersection for all possible pairs of groups (combined and subgroups). For the illustrative example, selected equivalents are provided in Table 10, and selected points of intersection are provided in Table 11.

There are $(nsg + 2) * (5 + kn) + nsg + 1$ columns in the first part of the “cc-unr-equiv” file, where nsg is the number of subgroups, and kn is the number of smoothing values. In sequence, these columns are:

- X scores,
- $nsg + 1$ frequencies,
- $nsg + 1$ mean equivalents,
- $nsg + 1$ linear equivalents,
- $nsg + 1$ parallel linear equivalents,
- $nsg + 1$ unsmoothed equipercentile equivalents,
- $nsg + 1$ equipercentile postsmoothed equivalents for the first smoothing value,
- ...
- $nsg + 1$ equipercentile postsmoothed equivalents for the kn -th smoothing value,
- $RMSD(x)$ values for each of the $4 + kn$ linking procedures,
- $nsg + 1$ standard errors for unsmoothed equipercentile equivalents.

Because of space constraints, sets of these columns are listed vertically in Table 10. So, for example, for $x = 319$ for the combined group, the equipercentile Y equivalent with a smoothing value of .30 is 22.00309, and for $x = 320$ it is 22.15970.

The standard errors are computed as indicated next.

- For the randomly equivalent groups design with frequency distributions as input (correlations set to -99), see the “discrete case, two groups” in Lord (1982).
- For the single group design with frequency distributions as input (correlations provided), see the “continuous case, one group” in Lord (1982).
- For the single group design with raw data as input, see the “discrete case, one group” in Lord (1982).

The points of intersection for pairs of groups are provided in the manner indicated in Table 11 for the illustrative example. (To save space intersection points are not provided in Table 11 for smoothed equipercentile equating.) Consider the equipercentile (no smoothing) results for males vs. females in Table 11:

MALE vs. FEMALE: + 378(36.20, 36.25) -

This means that for X scores below 378, males have *higher* (+) Y equivalents than for females; but for X scores at or above 378, males have *lower* (-) Y equivalents than for females. At $x = 378$, the Y equivalent for males is 36.20, and for females it is 36.25. Recall that reported ACT scores are integers in the 1–36 range, but the results reported here are for unrounded equivalents, which can be outside the 1–36 range.

Rounded Y equivalents for each of the linking methods, as well as $RMSD(x)$ values, are provided in the file “cc-r-equiv”, which also lists points of intersection for all possible pairs of groups (combined and subgroups). For the illustrative example, selected equivalents and $RMSD(x)$ values are provided in Table 12, and selected points of intersection are provided in Table 13. Standard errors are not provided in the “cc-r-equiv” file.

Other Issues

LEGS makes use of several functions in preparation, Teukolsky, Vetterling, and Flannery (1992). The cubic-spline smoothing algorithm used in LEGS is described by Reinsch (1967).

As noted previously, it is especially important that the control cards and frequencies files use the type of linebreak appropriate to the application. That is, when LEGS is used with a Macintosh, the linebreaks should be the Macintosh type, and when LEGS is used with a PC/Windows operating system, the linebreaks should be the DOS type.

References

- Hanson, B. A. (2000) Equating Error: A Program for Computing Equating Error Using the Bootstrap [Computer software and manual]. Available from www.uiowa.edu/~casma.
- Kolen, M. J., & Brennan, R. L. (1995). *Test equating: Methods and Practices*. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Kolen, M. J., & Brennan, R. L. (2004). *Test equating, scaling, and linking: Methods and Practices* (2nd ed.). New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Lord, F. M. (1982). The standard error of equipercentile equating. *Journal of Educational Statistics*, 7, 165–174.

Press, W. H., Teukolsky, S. A., Vetterling, W. T., & Flannery, B. P. (1992). *Numerical recipes in C* (2nd ed.). New York: Cambridge University Press.

Reinsch, C. H. (1967). Smoothing by spline functions. *Numerische Mathematik*, *10*, 177–183.

Appendix: Output Files

Table 3: Frequencies, Cumulative Frequencies, and Percentile Ranks for Y (“manualf-yout”)

$Y = \text{sc_ACT}$ for Group = COMBINED

Number of y scores such that $Q(y) < \text{slim}(0.500) = 4$

Number of y scores such that $Q(y) > 1 - \text{slim}(99.500) = 2$

| y | freq | cum freq | $g(y)$ | $G(y)$ | $Q(y)$ |
|-----|------|----------|---------|---------|--------|
| 9 | 3 | 3 | 0.00035 | 0.00035 | 0.017 |
| 10 | 6 | 9 | 0.00070 | 0.00104 | 0.070 |
| 11 | 20 | 29 | 0.00232 | 0.00336 | 0.220 |
| 12 | 18 | 47 | 0.00209 | 0.00545 | 0.440 |
| 13 | 46 | 93 | 0.00533 | 0.01078 | 0.811 |
| 14 | 90 | 183 | 0.01043 | 0.02121 | 1.599 |
| 15 | 158 | 341 | 0.01831 | 0.03952 | 3.037 |
| 16 | 338 | 679 | 0.03917 | 0.07870 | 5.911 |
| 17 | 454 | 1133 | 0.05262 | 0.13132 | 10.501 |
| 18 | 412 | 1545 | 0.04775 | 0.17907 | 15.519 |
| 19 | 738 | 2283 | 0.08554 | 0.26460 | 22.184 |
| 20 | 811 | 3094 | 0.09400 | 0.35860 | 31.160 |
| 21 | 914 | 4008 | 0.10593 | 0.46453 | 41.157 |
| 22 | 748 | 4756 | 0.08669 | 0.55123 | 50.788 |
| 23 | 838 | 5594 | 0.09713 | 0.64835 | 59.979 |
| 24 | 802 | 6396 | 0.09295 | 0.74131 | 69.483 |
| 25 | 399 | 6795 | 0.04624 | 0.78755 | 76.443 |
| 26 | 522 | 7317 | 0.06050 | 0.84805 | 81.780 |
| 27 | 336 | 7653 | 0.03894 | 0.88700 | 86.752 |
| 28 | 263 | 7916 | 0.03048 | 0.91748 | 90.224 |
| 29 | 287 | 8203 | 0.03326 | 0.95074 | 93.411 |
| 30 | 169 | 8372 | 0.01959 | 0.97033 | 96.054 |
| 31 | 76 | 8448 | 0.00881 | 0.97914 | 97.473 |
| 32 | 53 | 8501 | 0.00614 | 0.98528 | 98.221 |
| 33 | 17 | 8518 | 0.00197 | 0.98725 | 98.627 |
| 34 | 66 | 8584 | 0.00765 | 0.99490 | 99.108 |
| 35 | 9 | 8593 | 0.00104 | 0.99594 | 99.542 |
| 36 | 35 | 8628 | 0.00406 | 1.00000 | 99.797 |

$Y = \text{sc_ACT}$ for Group = MALE

.

$Y = \text{sc_ACT}$ for Group = FEMALE

.

Table 4: Frequencies, Cumulative Frequencies, and Percentile Ranks for X (“manualf-xout”)

$X = \text{sc_ITED}$ for Group = COMBINED

Number of x scores such that $P(x) < \text{slim}(0.500) = 36$
 Number of x scores such that $P(x) > 1 - \text{slim}(99.500) = 6$

| x | freq | cum freq | $f(x)$ | $F(x)$ | $P(x)$ |
|-----|------|----------|---------|---------|--------|
| 163 | 1 | 1 | 0.00012 | 0.00012 | 0.006 |
| 164 | 0 | 1 | 0.00000 | 0.00012 | 0.012 |
| 165 | 0 | 1 | 0.00000 | 0.00012 | 0.012 |
| 166 | 0 | 1 | 0.00000 | 0.00012 | 0.012 |
| 167 | 0 | 1 | 0.00000 | 0.00012 | 0.012 |
| 168 | 0 | 1 | 0.00000 | 0.00012 | 0.012 |
| 169 | 2 | 3 | 0.00023 | 0.00035 | 0.023 |
| 170 | 0 | 3 | 0.00000 | 0.00035 | 0.035 |
| 171 | 0 | 3 | 0.00000 | 0.00035 | 0.035 |
| 172 | 0 | 3 | 0.00000 | 0.00035 | 0.035 |
| 173 | 2 | 5 | 0.00023 | 0.00058 | 0.046 |
| 174 | 0 | 5 | 0.00000 | 0.00058 | 0.058 |
| . | . | . | . | . | . |
| . | . | . | . | . | . |
| 371 | 0 | 8455 | 0.00000 | 0.97995 | 97.995 |
| 372 | 121 | 8576 | 0.01402 | 0.99397 | 98.696 |
| 373 | 0 | 8576 | 0.00000 | 0.99397 | 99.397 |
| 374 | 0 | 8576 | 0.00000 | 0.99397 | 99.397 |
| 375 | 0 | 8576 | 0.00000 | 0.99397 | 99.397 |
| 376 | 0 | 8576 | 0.00000 | 0.99397 | 99.397 |
| 377 | 42 | 8618 | 0.00487 | 0.99884 | 99.641 |
| 378 | 0 | 8618 | 0.00000 | 0.99884 | 99.884 |
| 379 | 0 | 8618 | 0.00000 | 0.99884 | 99.884 |
| 380 | 0 | 8618 | 0.00000 | 0.99884 | 99.884 |
| 381 | 0 | 8618 | 0.00000 | 0.99884 | 99.884 |
| 382 | 10 | 8628 | 0.00116 | 1.00000 | 99.942 |

$X = \text{sc_ITED}$ for Group = MALE

.

.

$X = \text{sc_ITED}$ for Group = FEMALE

.

.

Table 5: Input and Data (“manualf-out”)

INFORMATION FROM/ABOUT CONTROL CARDS AND DATA FILES

Input Type: Frequency Distributions

Name of control cards file: manualf

Listing of control cards:

```

sc_ACT
sc_ITED
2 MALE FEMALE
manual-yfreqs
manual-xfreqs
.672 .659 .689
.5 2 .30 1.00
1 36 1
1

```

Interpretation of control cards:

Filename containing Y scores and frequencies: manual-yfreqs
 ID for Y: sc_ACT
 Number of scores in file: 28
 Lowest score in file: 9
 Highest score in file: 36
 Column for for Y scores: 1
 Column for combined group frequency: 2
 Columns for subgroup frequencies: 3 4
 Names of subgroups: MALE FEMALE

Filename containing X scores and frequencies: manual-xfreqs
 ID for X: sc_ITED
 Number of scores in file: 46
 Lowest score in file: 163
 Highest score in file: 382
 Column for for X scores: 1
 Column for combined group frequency: 2
 Columns for subgroup frequencies: 3 4
 Names of subgroups: MALE FEMALE

Correlations: 0.67200 0.65900 0.68900

For smoothed equipercntile equivalents, linear interpolation
 occurs outside the PR range [0.500,99.500]
 The 2 smoothing values are: 0.300 1.000

Truncation values:
 Lowest value of Y reported is 1
 Highest value of Y reported is 36
 Truncation values are applied to rounded equivalents only
 (truncation = 1).

Equating error input file has been generated.

Table 6: Summary Statistics, Effect Sizes, Standardized Mean Differences, and Parameters for Linear and Parallel Linear Conversions (“manualf-out”)

Summary Statistics for Y = sc_ACT

| GROUP | N | w WT | MEAN | SD | SKEW | KURT |
|-------------|------|---------|----------|---------|---------|---------|
| COMBINED(0) | 8628 | 1.00000 | 22.19692 | 4.21832 | 0.34974 | 3.21350 |
| MALE(1) | 3766 | 0.43649 | 22.83404 | 4.40107 | 0.31327 | 3.10862 |
| FEMALE(2) | 4862 | 0.56351 | 21.70341 | 4.00201 | 0.32539 | 3.23797 |

Summary Statistics for X = sc_ITED

| GROUP | N | w WT | MEAN | SD | SKEW | KURT |
|-------------|------|---------|-----------|----------|----------|---------|
| COMBINED(0) | 8628 | 1.00000 | 314.19089 | 36.18574 | -0.64871 | 3.30241 |
| MALE(1) | 3766 | 0.43649 | 315.49973 | 39.12991 | -0.75718 | 3.29010 |
| FEMALE(2) | 4862 | 0.56351 | 313.17709 | 33.69416 | -0.53880 | 3.22329 |

| Subgroups | Effect Size for Y | Effect Size for X | Difference |
|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|------------|
| 1 - 2 | 0.27046 | 0.06423 | 0.20623 |

| Subgroups | Standardized Mean Diff for Y | Standardized Mean Diff for X | Difference |
|-----------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|
| 1 - 2 | 0.26803 | 0.06419 | 0.20384 |

Estimates of parameters for linear conversion of X to Y

| GROUP | intercept | slope |
|----------|-----------|---------|
| COMBINED | -14.42959 | 0.11657 |
| MALE | -12.65127 | 0.11247 |
| FEMALE | -15.49409 | 0.11877 |

Estimates of parameters for parallel linear conversion of X to Y

| GROUP | intercept | slope |
|----------|-----------|---------|
| COMBINED | -14.42959 | 0.11657 |
| MALE | -13.94504 | 0.11657 |
| FEMALE | -14.80491 | 0.11657 |

Table 7: Unrounded Moments and Pairwise Group Difference Statistics (“manualf-out”)

| | | Using Unrounded Equivalentents | | | |
|-----------|------------|--------------------------------|----------|----------|---------|
| Subgroups | Method | MEAN | SD | SKEW | KURT |
| 0 | Data | 22.19692 | 4.21832 | 0.34974 | 3.21350 |
| | Mean | 22.19692 | 36.18574 | -0.64871 | 3.30242 |
| | Linear | 22.19692 | 4.21832 | -0.64871 | 3.30242 |
| | P-Linear | 22.19692 | 4.21832 | -0.64871 | 3.30242 |
| | Equi | 22.19663 | 4.22605 | 0.35541 | 3.23088 |
| | Equi(0.30) | 22.18723 | 4.20021 | 0.33008 | 3.14306 |
| | Equi(1.00) | 22.18774 | 4.20147 | 0.33309 | 3.14865 |
| 1 | Data | 22.83404 | 4.40107 | 0.31327 | 3.10862 |
| | Mean | 22.83404 | 39.12991 | -0.75718 | 3.29011 |
| | Linear | 22.83404 | 4.40107 | -0.75718 | 3.29011 |
| | P-Linear | 22.83404 | 4.56153 | -0.75718 | 3.29011 |
| | Equi | 22.83419 | 4.40674 | 0.31636 | 3.12375 |
| | Equi(0.30) | 22.83260 | 4.40671 | 0.29276 | 3.09781 |
| | Equi(1.00) | 22.83269 | 4.40731 | 0.29069 | 3.08575 |
| 2 | Data | 21.70341 | 4.00201 | 0.32539 | 3.23797 |
| | Mean | 21.70341 | 33.69416 | -0.53880 | 3.22330 |
| | Linear | 21.70341 | 4.00201 | -0.53880 | 3.22330 |
| | P-Linear | 21.70341 | 3.92786 | -0.53880 | 3.22330 |
| | Equi | 21.69861 | 3.99347 | 0.29629 | 3.15151 |
| | Equi(0.30) | 21.67417 | 3.96299 | 0.29902 | 3.13291 |
| | Equi(1.00) | 21.67225 | 3.95864 | 0.29098 | 3.10757 |

| | | Using Unrounded Equivalentents | | | |
|-----------|------------|--------------------------------|----------|---------|---------|
| Subgroups | Method | MD | ewMD | MAD | ewMAD |
| 1 - 0 | Mean | -0.67172 | -0.67172 | 0.67172 | 0.67172 |
| | Linear | 0.48828 | 0.66088 | 0.48828 | 0.66088 |
| | P-Linear | 0.48455 | 0.48455 | 0.48455 | 0.48455 |
| | Equi | 0.35493 | 0.52050 | 0.35494 | 0.52093 |
| | Equi(0.30) | 0.36403 | 0.45511 | 0.36403 | 0.45574 |
| | Equi(1.00) | 0.36260 | 0.45141 | 0.36353 | 0.45387 |
| 2 - 0 | Mean | 0.52030 | 0.52030 | 0.52030 | 0.52030 |
| | Linear | -0.37389 | -0.46483 | 0.37389 | 0.46483 |
| | P-Linear | -0.37532 | -0.37532 | 0.37532 | 0.37532 |
| | Equi | -0.27605 | -0.45391 | 0.27608 | 0.45537 |
| | Equi(0.30) | -0.29228 | -0.44743 | 0.29228 | 0.44767 |
| | Equi(1.00) | -0.29458 | -0.45712 | 0.29507 | 0.45753 |
| 1 - 2 | Mean | -1.19202 | -1.19202 | 1.19202 | 1.19202 |
| | Linear | 0.86300 | 1.12571 | 0.86300 | 1.12571 |
| | P-Linear | 0.85987 | 0.85986 | 0.85987 | 0.85986 |
| | Equi | 0.63474 | 0.97441 | 0.63480 | 0.97631 |
| | Equi(0.30) | 0.65969 | 0.90254 | 0.65969 | 0.90254 |
| | Equi(1.00) | 0.66057 | 0.90853 | 0.66057 | 0.90853 |

Table 8: Rounded Moments and Pairwise Group Difference Statistics (“manual-out”)

| Subgroups | Method | Using Rounded Equivalents | | | |
|-----------|------------|---------------------------|----------|----------|---------|
| | | MEAN | SD | SKEW | KURT |
| 0 | Data | 22.19692 | 4.21832 | 0.34974 | 3.21350 |
| | Mean | 20.79045 | 15.41039 | -0.26097 | 1.27584 |
| | Linear | 22.17293 | 4.18011 | -0.62457 | 3.27941 |
| | P-Linear | 22.17293 | 4.18011 | -0.62457 | 3.27941 |
| | Equi | 22.15635 | 4.19658 | 0.30272 | 3.19693 |
| | Equi(0.30) | 22.13108 | 4.21805 | 0.34559 | 3.14093 |
| | Equi(1.00) | 22.13108 | 4.21805 | 0.34559 | 3.14093 |
| 1 | Data | 22.83404 | 4.40107 | 0.31327 | 3.10862 |
| | Mean | 21.24881 | 15.51834 | -0.32105 | 1.28712 |
| | Linear | 22.83909 | 4.35922 | -0.73292 | 3.22920 |
| | P-Linear | 22.80563 | 4.57201 | -0.81917 | 3.39798 |
| | Equi | 22.81147 | 4.40677 | 0.36242 | 3.23520 |
| | Equi(0.30) | 22.79766 | 4.38677 | 0.32643 | 3.15981 |
| | Equi(1.00) | 22.78014 | 4.34376 | 0.27479 | 3.04924 |
| 2 | Data | 21.70341 | 4.00201 | 0.32539 | 3.23797 |
| | Mean | 20.54258 | 15.23691 | -0.22927 | 1.28068 |
| | Linear | 21.69128 | 4.04580 | -0.53023 | 3.23238 |
| | P-Linear | 21.66002 | 3.98772 | -0.51086 | 3.28380 |
| | Equi | 21.60325 | 3.91376 | 0.29478 | 3.16066 |
| | Equi(0.30) | 21.58309 | 3.92672 | 0.26527 | 3.05689 |
| | Equi(1.00) | 21.58309 | 3.92672 | 0.26527 | 3.05689 |
| Subgroups | Method | Using Rounded Equivalents | | | |
| | | MD | ewMD | MAD | ewMAD |
| 1 - 0 | Mean | -0.31071 | -0.15909 | 0.31071 | 0.15909 |
| | Linear | 0.51686 | 0.65909 | 0.51686 | 0.65909 |
| | P-Linear | 0.48653 | 0.47727 | 0.48653 | 0.47727 |
| | Equi | 0.37236 | 0.47727 | 0.37236 | 0.47727 |
| | Equi(0.30) | 0.38373 | 0.43636 | 0.38373 | 0.43636 |
| | Equi(1.00) | 0.36865 | 0.43636 | 0.36865 | 0.43636 |
| 2 - 0 | Mean | 0.31520 | 0.15909 | 0.31520 | 0.15909 |
| | Linear | -0.36153 | -0.46818 | 0.36153 | 0.46818 |
| | P-Linear | -0.39392 | -0.38182 | 0.39392 | 0.38182 |
| | Equi | -0.33454 | -0.47727 | 0.33454 | 0.47727 |
| | Equi(0.30) | -0.32632 | -0.45455 | 0.32632 | 0.45455 |
| | Equi(1.00) | -0.32632 | -0.46818 | 0.32632 | 0.46818 |
| 1 - 2 | Mean | -0.62703 | -0.31818 | 0.62703 | 0.31818 |
| | Linear | 0.87668 | 1.12727 | 0.87668 | 1.12727 |
| | P-Linear | 0.88074 | 0.85909 | 0.88074 | 0.85909 |
| | Equi | 0.70700 | 0.95455 | 0.70700 | 0.95455 |
| | Equi(0.30) | 0.71743 | 0.89091 | 0.71743 | 0.89091 |
| | Equi(1.00) | 0.70341 | 0.90455 | 0.70341 | 0.90455 |

Table 9: *REMSD* and *ewREMSD* for Unrounded and Rounded Equivalents; *rmsel* Statistics (“manualf-out”)

| | Mean | Linear | Par Lin | Equi | s=0.30 | s=1.00 |
|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Unrounded | | | | | | |
| REMSD | 0.14015 | 0.10500 | 0.10109 | 0.08719 | 0.08894 | 0.08921 |
| ewREMSD | 0.14015 | 0.14085 | 0.10109 | 0.13447 | 0.12496 | 0.12633 |
| Rounded | | | | | | |
| REMSD | 0.13244 | 0.15564 | 0.15618 | 0.14085 | 0.13904 | 0.13748 |
| ewREMSD | 0.09455 | 0.17605 | 0.15427 | 0.16445 | 0.15843 | 0.16068 |

Unrounded rmsel values

| GROUP | Mean | Linear | Par Lin |
|-------------|----------|---------|---------|
| COMBINED(0) | 33.49701 | 3.41658 | 3.41658 |
| MALE(1) | 36.38052 | 3.63455 | 3.70369 |
| FEMALE(2) | 31.07245 | 3.15627 | 3.12777 |

Table 10: Unrounded Equivalents
 (“manualf-unr-equiv”)

| x | f(x)_0 | f(x)_1 | f(x)_2 |
|-----|----------|----------|----------|
| | Mean_0 | Mean_1 | Mean_2 |
| | Lin_0 | Lin_1 | Lin_2 |
| | PLin_0 | PLin_1 | PLin_2 |
| | Equi_0 | Equi_1 | Equi_2 |
| | 0.30_0 | 0.30_1 | 0.30_2 |
| | 1.00_0 | 1.00_1 | 1.00_2 |
| | Rx_Mean | Rx_Lin | Rx_Plin |
| | Rx_Equi | Rx_0.30 | Rx_1.00 |
| | SE_0 | SE_1 | SE_2 |
| | . | . | . |
| | . | . | . |
| 319 | 0.04277 | 0.04116 | 0.04401 |
| | 27.00603 | 26.33431 | 27.52633 |
| | 22.75753 | 23.22773 | 22.39503 |
| | 22.75753 | 23.24208 | 22.38221 |
| | 22.00468 | 22.41887 | 21.72422 |
| | 22.00309 | 22.49097 | 21.72779 |
| | 22.00024 | 22.48845 | 21.73256 |
| | 0.14015 | 0.09790 | 0.10109 |
| | 0.08185 | 0.09077 | 0.09009 |
| | 0.06397 | 0.10569 | 0.07928 |
| 320 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| | 28.00603 | 27.33431 | 28.52633 |
| | 22.87411 | 23.34020 | 22.51380 |
| | 22.87411 | 23.35866 | 22.49879 |
| | 22.25134 | 22.63874 | 21.96413 |
| | 22.15970 | 22.62687 | 21.85428 |
| | 22.12963 | 22.59378 | 21.82606 |
| | 0.14015 | 0.09716 | 0.10109 |
| | 0.07933 | 0.09115 | 0.09057 |
| | 0.06388 | 0.08363 | 0.07903 |
| | . | . | . |
| | . | . | . |

Table 11: Unrounded Equivalent—Intersections (“manualf-unr-equiv”)

Intersection Points for Unrounded Scores
Using Format [sign x(y_focal,y_comparison) sign ...] where
"sign" is the sign of the difference score (focal-comparison),
"x" is the x-score associated with a change in the sign of the difference,
"y_focal" is the corresponding y-score for the focal group,
"y_comparison" is the corresponding y-score for the comparison group

| Method | Focal Group vs. Comparison Group |
|----------------------------|---|
| Mean | |
| | COMBINED vs. MALE: + |
| | COMBINED vs. FEMALE: - |
| | MALE vs. FEMALE: - |
| Linear | |
| | COMBINED vs. MALE: - |
| | COMBINED vs. FEMALE: + |
| | MALE vs. FEMALE: + |
| Parallel Linear | |
| | COMBINED vs. MALE: - |
| | COMBINED vs. FEMALE: + |
| | MALE vs. FEMALE: + |
| Equipercentile | |
| | COMBINED vs. MALE: - 378(36.21, 36.20) + |
| | COMBINED vs. FEMALE: + 378(36.21, 36.25) - |
| | MALE vs. FEMALE: + 378(36.20, 36.25) - |
| Equipercentile with s=0.30 | |
| Equipercentile with s=1.00 | |

Table 12: Rounded Equivalents
 (“manualf-r-equiv”)

| x | f(x)_0 | f(x)_1 | f(x)_2 |
|-----|---------|---------|---------|
| | Mean_0 | Mean_1 | Mean_2 |
| | Lin_0 | Lin_1 | Lin_2 |
| | PLin_0 | PLin_1 | PLin_2 |
| | Equi_0 | Equi_1 | Equi_2 |
| | 0.30_0 | 0.30_1 | 0.30_2 |
| | 1.00_0 | 1.00_1 | 1.00_2 |
| | Rx_Mean | Rx_Lin | Rx_Plin |
| | Rx_Equi | Rx_0.30 | Rx_1.00 |
| | . | . | . |
| | . | . | . |
| 319 | 0.04277 | 0.04116 | 0.04401 |
| | 27 | 26 | 28 |
| | 23 | 23 | 22 |
| | 23 | 23 | 22 |
| | 22 | 22 | 22 |
| | 22 | 22 | 22 |
| | 22 | 22 | 22 |
| | 0.23706 | 0.17796 | 0.17796 |
| | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 320 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| | 28 | 27 | 29 |
| | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| | 23 | 23 | 22 |
| | 22 | 23 | 22 |
| | 22 | 23 | 22 |
| | 22 | 23 | 22 |
| | 0.23706 | 0.00000 | 0.17796 |
| | 0.15662 | 0.15662 | 0.15662 |
| | . | . | . |
| | . | . | . |
| | . | . | . |

Table 13: Rounded Equivalent—Intersections (“manual-r-equiv”)

Intersection Points for Unrounded Scores
 Using Format [sign x(y_focal,y_comparison) sign ...] where
 "sign" is the sign of the difference score (focal-comparison),
 "x" is the x-score associated with a change in the sign of the difference,
 "y_focal" is the corresponding y-score for the focal group,
 "y_comparison" is the corresponding y-score for the comparison group

| Method | Focal Group vs. | Comparison Group |
|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Mean | | |
| | COMBINED vs. MALE: | - |
| | COMBINED vs. FEMALE: | - |
| | MALE vs. FEMALE: | - |
| Linear | | |
| | COMBINED vs. MALE: | - |
| | COMBINED vs. FEMALE: | + |
| | MALE vs. FEMALE: | + |
| Parallel Linear | | |
| | COMBINED vs. MALE: | - |
| | COMBINED vs. FEMALE: | + |
| | MALE vs. FEMALE: | + |
| Equipercntile | | |
| | COMBINED vs. MALE: | - |
| | COMBINED vs. FEMALE: | - |
| | MALE vs. FEMALE: | + |
| Equipercntile with s=0.30 | | |
| Equipercntile with s=1.00 | | |
